

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,620	07/10/2003	Rodney C. Hemminger	ELSE-0817	3352	
23377 7	590 12/02/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	K WASHBURN LLP		KARLSEN, ERNEST F		
ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR 1650 MARKET STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	IA, PA 19103		2829		

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/616,620	HEMMINGER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ernest F. Karlsen	2829				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
Period fo	, -	DI V IS SET TO EVDIDE 2	MONTH(S) EDOM				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of tood will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mulute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	7 August 2004.					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application	ion.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	-				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum		. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
·	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in	Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have be	en received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bu						
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies n	ot received.				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	🗖	lo(s)/Mail Date  of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date <u>0803</u> .	6) Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

Application/Control Number: 10/616,620

Art Unit: 2829

Claim11-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 0604.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Item 164 (Quantum. RTM...) of the Form PTO-1449 Modified filed August 13, 2003 in view of Johnston '839.

With regard to claims 1, 6 and 10, Item 164 shows a meter, see pages 516 and 6-1, wherein LED test pulses are produced which are representative of
either watthours or varhours. The pulses are produced on two separate LEDs in
parallel, but Item 164 does not show serial output using a single light source.

Johnston '839 show apparatus using an optical port where the apparatus
receives commands and transmits signals representative of at least two electrical
parameters via a single light source serially and in dependence on the command
received. In other words the electrical parameters are multiplexed on a single
channel. The term "port" is interpreted broadly in that the number of inputs and
outputs can be of any number and the inputs and outputs can be at different

Application/Control Number: 10/616,620

Art Unit: 2829

locations. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have substituted the serial readout technique of Johnston '839 for the parallel readout technique of Item 164 because one of ordinary skill in the art would realize that so doing would enable simpler more easily performed readout of information. With regard to claims 4 and 5, page 5-16 relates to delivered and received vars. With regard to claims 7-9, Item 164 selects one of vars and watthours. The "K" only indicates a multiple of one thousand and is the standard in electrical measurement. With regard to claim 9, the optical port of Johnston '839 receives a signal from an external source representative of kilowatt demand or kilowatt hours.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Item 164 in view of Johnston '839 and Hutt et al.

The combination of Item 164 and Johnston '839 shows that claimed except for the multiple types of power including real power, reactive power and apparent power. Hutt et al show an energy meter that measures real power, reactive power and apparent power. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have adapted the apparatus

Art Unit: 2829

resulting from the combination of Item 164 and Johnston '839 to measure and respond to real power, reactive power and apparent power as taught by Hutt et al because one skilled in the art would realize that so doing would enable a greater range of power types to be measured. See column 1, line 67 to column 2, line 5 and column 12, line 64 to column 13, line 3 of Hutt et al.

The use of the symbol "Ke" in claims 7 and 8 is objected to because it is not properly defined in the specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ernest F. Karlsen at telephone number 571-272-1961.

Ernest F. Karlsen

November 27, 2004

ERNEST KARLSEN PRIMARY EXAMINER